



MACRO THEMES

Five questions on the impact of carbon taxes on economies and markets

AUTHOR

THIERRY RONCALLI
HEAD OF QUANT
PORTFOLIO
STRATEGY, AMUNDI
INVESTMENT
INSTITUTE

1. What is a carbon tax and how does it affect the economy?

The primary objective of a tax is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon emissions. By putting a price on carbon, the tax provides a financial incentive for businesses and individuals to adopt cleaner technologies, improve energy efficiency and switch to alternative low-carbon products. We estimate that a global carbon tax of \$100 per tonne of CO2 emitted would, in total, be the equivalent of 5.01% of global GDP. However, this cost would be partially offset by a 2.83% increase in government tax revenues, leading to a net economic cost of 2.18% (of global GDP). The region most affected would be Emerging Markets, starting with India, followed by Russia, China, Bulgaria and Taiwan. We also note that the introduction of a \$100 carbon tax could lead to an inflation shock, as the carbon tax would be passed from the producer to the rest of the supply chain: +4.08% for the producer price index and +3.53% in terms of the consumer price index.



**SCAN OR
CLICK TO
VIEW
DIGITAL
VERSION**

2. Why are the total costs more than the carbon tax revenues?

Governments would earn revenue directly equivalent to the cost of the carbon tax, but the net economic cost is the indirect cost that results from passing the tax down the supply chain to the consumer. The amount passed on depends on factors, such as the market structure (e.g. monopolistic, competitive) and the price elasticities (i.e. sensitivities) of supply and demand. For example, economists assume that the pass-through rate for energy products is close to 100%, meaning that a carbon tax will generally be borne by end consumers because the demand for energy products is highly inelastic. In the above example, the net cost of 2.18% of global GDP was the amount passed through but within the 5.01% total figure, 0.93% was paid by the producers and 4.08% by the supply chain and consumers. This meant that only 20% of the carbon tax was borne by the producers.

A carbon tax would have a significant impact on growth and inflation, with Emerging Markets particularly affected.

Carbon Tax – most and least affected countries

by Net Cost

Most negatively affected		Least affected	
1	India	1	Switzerland
2	Russia	2	Denmark
3	China	3	Norway
4	Bulgaria	4	Ireland
5	Taiwan	5	France

by Inflation (CPI)

Most negatively affected		Least affected	
1	Indonesia	1	Switzerland
2	China	2	Norway
3	France	3	Sweden
4	India	4	USA
5	Russia	5	Denmark

Source: Roncalli T., Le Guenedal T., Desnos B., Morais P., "From climate stress testing to climate value-at-risk: A stochastic approach", Amundi Investment Institute, July 2023. [From climate stress testing to climate value-at-risk: a stochastic approach](#)



3. What would be the impact of a carbon tax at the sector level?

There are big differences between sectors, depending on the impact of the tax on each sector’s cost of supply and downstream supply chain. A sector near the top of its global value chain receives few costs from its suppliers.

Hence, it can pass on more costs than it receives. Conversely, if a sector is at the bottom of its global value chain, it receives a high number of costs from its suppliers due to a snowball effect. Thus, it can only pass on fewer costs than it receives. Our analysis finds (see chart) that when the pass-through rate is low (25% or less), the energy and utilities sectors are most affected. However, a realistic assumption (best estimates) for a high pass-through rate, given their positions at the top of their global value chains, finds that their earnings could be boosted by a carbon tax. In contrast, the consumer discretionary and consumer staples sectors would see their earnings notably reduced given their high dependency on other sectors. It is important to analyse the upstream and downstream supply chains in depth to understand how a carbon tax affects sectors differently.

4. Why examine the potential impact of a carbon tax within sectors?

The impact of a carbon tax not only varies across sectors, but also exhibits a wide dispersion of its effect within sectors. In particular, the tax effect appears relatively homogeneous within the communication services, financials, health care, technology and real estate sectors, while there is a higher dispersion among materials and industrials companies.

5. What are the main challenges in implementing a carbon tax?

A carbon tax is aimed at changing demand in order to change supply. However, if demand is almost inelastic, a tax may only result in an inflationary shock and a net economic cost to society. To be effective, it must be accompanied by green electricity supply policies aimed at growing the number of green power projects as well as offering subsidies to the utility sector to encourage investment. Also, it would be necessary for taxation to be coordinated across countries. Thus, a regional carbon tax at the European Union level would be an option. Our research showed that such a tax would result in more than 95% of the costs falling on the countries within the Union, while the impact outside it would be relatively small. A Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism to prohibit production from moving to countries outside the Union with lower carbon prices has been set up. Importantly, a carbon tax can have a social impact with low-income households potentially more affected than high-income ones (see [Amundi’s research](#)). Therefore, the redistribution of government revenues from carbon taxation between green investment and social aid must be addressed to ensure carbon taxation is effective.

Illustrative impact at sector level of a Carbon Tax

	Energy	Materials	Industrials	Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Staples	Health Care	Financials	Information Technology	Comm Services	Utilities	Real Estate
Pass-through (PT) = 25%	Red	Red	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Red	Light Pink
PT Best estimates	Teal	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Light Pink	Teal	Light Pink
Dispersion within the sector	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	MEDIUM	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	HIGH	LOW

Source: Roncalli T., Guenedal T., Desnos B., Morais P., “ From climate stress testing to climate value-at-risk: A stochastic approach”, Amundi Investment Institute, July 2023. [From climate stress testing to climate value-at-risk: a stochastic approach](#)

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This document is solely for informational purposes.

This document does not constitute an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation of any security or any other product or service. Any securities, products, or services referenced may not be registered for sale with the relevant authority in your jurisdiction and may not be regulated or supervised by any governmental or similar authority in your jurisdiction.

Any information contained in this document may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or redisseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices.

Furthermore, nothing in this document is intended to provide tax, legal, or investment advice.

Unless otherwise stated, all information contained in this document is from Amundi Asset Management SAS and is as of 27 March 2024. Diversification does not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss. This document is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The views expressed regarding market and economic trends are those of the author and not necessarily Amundi Asset Management SAS and are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and there can be no assurance that countries, markets or sectors will perform as expected. These views should not be relied upon as investment advice, a security recommendation, or as an indication of trading for any Amundi product. Investment involves risks, including market, political, liquidity and currency risks.

Furthermore, in no event shall any person involved in the production of this document have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages.

Date of first use: 8 April 2024.

Document issued by Amundi Asset Management, "société par actions simplifiée"- SAS with a capital of €1,143,615,555 - Portfolio manager regulated by the AMF under number GP04000036 – Head office: 90-93 boulevard Pasteur – 75015 Paris – France – 437 574 452 RCS Paris – www.amundi.com

Photo credit: ©iStock/Getty Images Plus: Media Production, Stephan Zirwes, Walaiporn Sangkeaw, Klaus Vedfelt, Abstract Aerial Art

Chief editors

DEFEND Monica, *Head of Amundi Investment Institute*
GERMANO Matteo, *Deputy Group Chief Investment Officer*
MORTIER Vincent, *Group Chief Investment Officer*

Editors

BERTINO Claudia, *Head of Amundi Investment Insights & Publishing, Amundi Investment Institute*
FIOROT Laura, *Head of Investment Insights & Client Division, Amundi Investment Institute*
LOMBARDO Giulio, *Publishing Specialist, Amundi Investment Institute*

Design and Data Visualization

BENETTI Chiara, *Digital Art Director and Strategy Designer, Amundi Investment Institute*
FLASSEUR Vincent, *Data visualization manager, Amundi Investment Institute*

Contributors

BERTONCINI Sergio, *Senior Fixed Income Strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*
BROUSSE Pierre, *Equity Strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*
CESARINI Federico, *Head of FX Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*
COADOU John, *Global equity strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*
DELBÒ Debora, *Senior EM Macro Strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*

Authors

BERARDI Alessia, *Head of Emerging Macro Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*
GISIMUNDO Viviana, *Head of Quant Solutions, OCIO Solutions, Amundi*
MIJOT Eric, *Head of Global Equity Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*
PORTELLI Lorenzo, *Head of Cross Asset Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*
PRADHAN Mahmood, *Head of Global Macroeconomics, Amundi Investment Institute*
RONCALLI Thierry, *Head of Quant Portfolio Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*
USARDI Annalisa, *CFA, Senior Economist, Amundi Investment Institute*
WALSH Thomas, *Senior Quantitative Analyst, OCIO Solutions, Amundi*
ZANETTI Nicola, *Quantitative Analyst, OCIO Solutions, Amundi*
GEORGES Delphine, *Senior Fixed Income Strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*
HUANG Claire, *Senior EM Macro Strategist, Amundi Investment Institute*
TAMBI Ayush, *Senior Equity Strategy, Amundi Investment Institute*