## **THEMATIC**



Anna ROSENBERG

Head of Geopolitics, Amundi
Institute

Despite the downside pressures, it remains our base-case expectation -- at 35% likelihood -- that a ceasefire in H2 2023 could lead to an end of the war

The next most likely scenario is a conflict that lasts for several years

# Recent developments do not change our expectations for the Russia-Ukraine war

The war in Ukraine will continue to dictate Europe's prospects in 2023. It will shape local and EU politics, energy security, industrial policy, and international relations. In this article, we outline our expectations for the next phase of the war and offer an optimistic prospect for peace negotiations to lead to a cessation of hostilities in the second half of the year.

Much has happened in recent weeks in the Russia-Ukraine war. The United States has raised the prospect of negotiations, the Russian military left Kherson, emboldening Ukrainians, while a missile killed two in Poland highlighting how easily the war could escalate beyond Ukraine. Expectations are rising that Ukraine is now more willing to sit down for talks given the US change in rhetoric and Russia's destruction of critical infrastructure ahead of the cold winter months.

Despite these developments, we are not changing our assumptions for the war. Risks remain tilted to the downside, as most scenarios lead to a negative outcome for Europe (in combination a 60% likelihood). Despite the downside pressures, it remains our basecase expectation -- at 35% likelihood -- that a ceasefire in 2023 (most likely towards yearend) could lead to an end of the war. Our rationale is that:

- Western support should wane, as the public in Europe and the United States feels a greater economic squeeze next year, causing policy makers to pressurise Ukraine to seek negotiations. These pressures will increase throughout 2023.
- Ukraine will want to fight to regain territory for as long as possible; it will not stop before Western support actually begins to decrease or before it is militarily exhausted.
- Ukraine will only agree to negotiate if NATO offers sufficient security guarantees to deter Russia from launching a new attack after refuelling following a ceasefire. Because the West will be keen to see the conflict end, we expect the West will be willing to grant Ukraine sufficient guarantees. On the Russian side, Russia will have been able to gain and defend some territory beyond the 24 February battle line to be able to claim victory domestically, but it is militarily too exhausted to gain more territory.

The next most likely scenario is a conflict that lasts for several years. Recent signs out of Russia give the impression that Russian President Vladimir Putin is preparing for a long war. The school and University curriculum were amended to include military training and to create a pro-Russian narrative around the need for and goal of the war:

- For this scenario to materialise, both sides would need to be able to keep up the war effort over time with differing levels of intensity.
- Even if Ukraine's military support weakens, the country can sustain an insurgency, as its fighters are better trained compared to less motivated Russian recruits.

 Russia still has significant resources for mobilisation and can reposition troops from other locations (e.g., Syria, Azerbaijan). Russia may decide to 'wait' for Western fatigue in supporting Ukraine to weaken Ukraine's defences

The other likely scenario in our opinion is the risk of a direct escalation with the West (25% likelihood), either in or beyond Ukraine. The most plausible event leading to a direct war with the West has hitherto been a targeted nuclear attack within Ukraine. However, recent events in Poland raise the prospect that a direct war with the West could also happen because of other developments. So far, it seems the missile killing two in Poland has been an accident caused by Ukrainian forces to defend from Russian attacks. However, investigations are ongoing and the outcome does matter. Given Russia's way of waging war, it is not implausible that these missiles were planned by Russia to send a warning sign. While this is not our expectation, the incident serves as a reminder of how quickly an escalation could occur.

Overall, we consider the nuclear risk underappreciated. The most obvious nuclear risk results from an 'accident' around the nuclear power plant Zaporizhzhia given it is exposed to frequent shelling. The fact that the leaders of Germany, the United States, and France felt compelled to get China's Xi Jinping to voice publicly his opposition to nuclear escalation is evidence for how high the United States and the EU consider this risk to be. The change of tone in the United States (calling for negotiations) is also likely the result of concerns over what Russia may be willing to do the more it is militarily humiliated. It is also important to remember that Russia's military doctrine makes nuclear escalation more likely.

There are several other low-likelihood scenarios, which include a Russian 'victory', a Ukrainian 'victory', and a power shift in Russia that leads to an end of the war. However, a power shift in Russia would more likely lead to a more hawkish leader taking over rather than a benign figure, increasing the prospect of escalation. Lastly, there is no such thing as a Ukrainian 'victory' as it would precipitate a humiliated nuclear power in the epicentre of Europe posing a constant threat to Western Europe. Equally, a Russian 'victory' would increase the risk that Russia would go beyond Ukraine.

Finalised on 24 November 2022





December 2022 #12

# Find out more about Amundi publications

research-center.amundi.com



**Emerging Private Equity** Fc Find Monetary Bottom-up Exchange Corporate\_ **Real Estate** Quant Investment Strategies Asset Allocation

This document is solely for informational purposes.

This document does not constitute an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation of any security or any other product or service. Any securities, products, or services referenced may not be registered for sale with the relevant authority in your jurisdiction and may not be regulated or supervised by any governmental or similar authority in your jurisdiction.

Any information contained in this document may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or redisseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices.

Furthermore, nothing in this document is intended to provide tax, legal, or investment advice.

Unless otherwise stated, all information contained in this document is from Amundi Asset Management SAS and is as of 30 November 2022. Diversification does not guarantee a profit or protect against a loss. This document is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The views expressed regarding market and economic trends are those of the author and not necessarily Amundi Asset Management SAS and are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and there can be no assurance that countries, markets or sectors will perform as expected. These views should not be relied upon as investment advice, a security recommendation, or as an indication of trading for any Amundi product. Investment involves risks, including market, political, liquidity and currency risks.

Furthermore, in no event shall any person involved in the production of this document have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages.

Date of first use: 2 December 2022

Document issued by Amundi Asset Management, "société par actions simplifiée"- SAS with a capital of €1,143,615,555 - Portfolio manager regulated by the AMF under number GP04000036 - Head office: 90-93 boulevard Pasteur - 75015 Paris - France - 437 574 452 RCS Paris - www.amundi.com

DEFEND Monica, Head of Amundi Institute MORTIER Vincent, Group Chief Investment Officer

BERTINO Claudia, Head of Amundi Investment Insights & Publishing FIOROT Laura, Head of Investment Insights & Client Division

Photo credit: @MDelporte - iStock/Getty Images Plus - Charles O'Rear

AINOUZ Valentine, Head of Global Fixed Income Strategy, CFA BERARDI Alessia, Head of Emerging Macro and Strategy Research BERTHON Jean-Baptiste, Senior Cross-Asset Strategist BERTONCINI Sergio, Senior Fixed Income Research Strategist BOROWSKI Didier, Head of Macro Policy Research CESARINI Federico, Head of DM FX, Cross Asset Research Strategist DI SILVIO Silvia, Cross Asset Research Macro Strategist DROZDZIK Patryk, Senior EM Macro Strategist GEORGES Delphine, Senior Fixed Income Research Strategist

### **Amundi Investment Platforms contributor**

ZHAO Ethan, Credit Analyst

### With Amundi Investment Insights contribution

CARULLA Pol, Investment Insights and Client Division Specialist

### DHINGRA Uijwal, Investment Insights and Client Division Specialist

### Conception & production

BERGER Pia, Communication Specialist PONCET Benoit. Communication Specialist

Marketing material for the exclusive attention of professional clients, investment services providers and any other professional of the financial industry

BOROWSKI Didier, Head of Macro Policy Research PANELLI Francesca, Investment Insights and Client Division Specialist PERRIER Tristan, Macroeconomist and Investment Insights Specialist

HERVÉ Karine, Senior EM Macro Strategist HUANG Claire, Senior EM Macro Strategist

PANELLI Francesca, Investment Insights and Client Division Specialist PORTELLI Lorenzo, Head of Cross Asset Strategy, Head of Research at Amundi Italy

ROSENBERG Anna, Head of Geopolitics USARDI Annalisa, Senior Economist VARTANESYAN Sosi, Senior Sovereign Analyst