Amundi Investment Solutions

Trust must be earned

Insurance Thematics



AUTHORS



Sylvie Nonnon Insurance Senior Advisor at Amundi AM



Théophile Tixier, CFA

ESG and Climate Specialist at Amundi AM

About the authors

Sylvie Nonnon is an expert in prudential and accounting frameworks for insurers. She and her team support insurers in optimizing their asset allocation strategies and their capital charge. When designing investment vehicles incorporating a new performance engine, she advises Amundi's investment platforms to ensure that the products meet the needs of insurers.

Théophile Tixier, CFA is an ESG and Climate Specialist based in Paris, France. He uses his expertise in financial and extrafinancial analysis to help investors achieve their sustainability objectives.

CONTRIBUTORS

Mathieu Jouanneau : ESG Methodology & Climate Solutions Expert at Amundi AM

Théo Le Guenedal : Quantitative Research, Amundi Technology at Amundi AM

EDITOR

Maëliss Odjo : Institutional Marketing Specialist – Insurance & Bank at Amundi AM

Navigating climate risk: Solvency II revision, stress tests and strategic adaptation

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- A critical challenge: climate change represents a major challenge for insurers, driven by the increasing frequency of natural disasters and the need to manage risks associated with the transition to a low-carbon economy

- Regulatory initiatives:

- **Solvency 2 revision:** climate risks are gradually being integrated into the Solvency 2 prudential framework for insurers
- **climate stress tests:** These are proving useful in assessing insurers' resilience to both physical and transition risks.

- Implications for European insurers:

- the latest EIOPA recommendations are expected to have limited impact on the solvency of European insurers
- however, stress tests reveal significant vulnerabilities, including investment portfolio devaluation, rising climate-related claims, and declining solvency ratios.
- **Strategic levers for insurers:** engaging with companies and reallocating asset portfolios using innovative indicators and tools.

Climate change has become a key issue for the financial sector, particularly for insurers. The increasing frequency and intensity of natural disasters, combined with the challenges of transitioning to a low-carbon economy, are reshaping the risks insurers face.

In response, regulators are progressively strengthening the integration of climate risks into the prudential framework, particularly through the revision of the Solvency 2 directive, which governs insurance activities across the European Union.

At the same time, several recent conducted by European supervisory authorities provide concrete insights into the sector's resilience to both physical and transition risks.

In this article, we explore the ongoing updates of Solvency 2, their potential impact on insurers, key finding from climate stress tests, and the strategic actions insurers can take to adapt to these changes.

The Amendment to Solvency 2 Directive strengthens climate risk management and sustainability obligations

1. Regulation that progressively includes climate risks

In Europe, the prudential framework for insurance activities is based on the Solvency 2 directive, in effect since 2016.

This regulation aims to ensure that insurers hold capital to meet their commitments in the event of crisis while reinforcing the stability of the sector. It is structured around 3 key pillars:

- Pillar 1: assessment of assets and liabilities, along with capital requirements to ensure insurers' solvency
- Pillar 2: : Governance and risk management requirements, including the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)
- **Pillar 3:** transparency and reporting to enable regulators and investors to assess the financial strength of insurance companies

Initially focused on traditional financial criteria, this framework is gradually evolving to incorporate climate and sustainability risks.

Amendment of Solvency 2 Pillar 1: EIOPA's recommendations for incorporating climate risks into capital requirements

As part of the Solvency 2 revision, **the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)** was mandated by the European Commission to propose **amendments to Pillar 1,** aiming for more precise integration of climate risks into insurers' capital requirements.

This includes assessing the potential for **specific prudential treatment of assets exposed to climate risks**, particularly those linked to fossil fuel industries or to activities deemed by the European Platform on Sustainable Finance as having no potential for transition or as 'always significantly harmful' under any climate scenario.

Following multiple public and institutional consultations, as well as retrospective, prospective and stress test analyses on climate transition risks – conducted between 2018 and 2022 by various supervisory authorities (ACPR in 2020, DBN in 2018, ESBR in 2022 and IAIS in 2021) – EIOPA published its **final report at the end of 2024**.

In this report, as illustrated in the table below, EIOPA recommended new adjustments to the calculation of capital requirements to better integrate transition risks identified in its analyses.

The implementation of these recommendations falls under the responsibility of the European Commission, which will decide whether to apply them.

Figure 1: Prudential adjustments to capital requirements for climate-exposed investments

Type of investment	Modified SCR component	Recommended adjustment	Examples
Equities	 SCR Equities type 1 (equities listed in OECD countries) SCR Equities type 2 (equities unlisted in OECD countries) 	+17% of investment	 SCR Equities type 1 at 56% instead of 39%
Bonds	• Credit spread risk (SCR spread)	x 140% of the SCR spread for a bond of the same rating and duration	 A-rated bond with 10-year duration: 14.7% (instead of 10.5%) BBB-rated bond, 10-year duration: 28% (instead of 20%)

A limited impact but a need to adapt

The impact of these new capital requirements on insurers' solvency is expected to remain moderate for European insurers, for several reasons:

- many European insurers have already started divesting from fossil fuel-related assets
- **the additional capital requirements apply to a limited share of assets:** exposure to assets linked to fossil fuel extraction is generally low relative to total portfolio.

For instance, an analysis of EIOPA statistics (Figure 2) on the composition of assets held by EEA insurers shows that:

- **direct investment in extraction activities** outside the financial sector (B NACE code) account for less than 0.2% of portfolios
- this share has halved between the end of 2019 and the end of 2023.

Figure 2: Breakdown of assets held by EEA insurers outside the financial sector and public administrations, by NACE code as a % of total investment by EEA insurers



Sources: EIOPA - excluding unit-linked investments - Amundi calculations

Furthermore, we believe that EIOPA's recommendations present several structural shortcomings:

- underestimation of indirect climate risks: EIOPA's assessment focuses solely the fossil fuel sector, without accounting to broader indirect repercussions on other industries.
- **lack of incentives for climate transition financing:** unlike other international regulators, EIOPA does not propose any measures encouraging insurers to shift investments toward "green" assets.
- a rigid sectoral approach misaligned with corporate realities: the reliance on NACE codes to classify high-risk sectors fails to account for individual corporate pathways. It does not distinguish between companies with ambitious and credible transition plans and those without, thus failing to encourage financing for the transition of high-emitting companies committed to Net Zero.
- failure to consider social risks and preventive measures due to insufficient data availability.

Amendment of Solvency 2 Pillar 2: Strengthening climate risk governance and management, amendments adopted for transposition by January 2027

The Solvency 2 Directive has been amended to explicitly integrate **climate and sustainability risks into Pillar 2**, embedding them directly into insurers' risk management frameworks.

Adopted by the European Parliament in 2024, this amendment must be transposed into national legislation by January 2027.

The key objectives are to:

- · account for the impacts of climate change and energy transition policies
- align risk management strategies with the EU's carbon neutrality targets for 2050
- ensure consistency between risk management policies and the Sustainability reports to enhance transparency.

New obligations for insurers:

- assessment of exposure to climate risks at least once every 3 years
- mandatory integration of two climate scenarios into the ORSA:
 - a scenario where global temperature rise remains below 2°C
 - a scenario where global temperature rise significantly exceeds 2°C
- Impact analysis across 3-time horizons:
 - Short-term: identifying of immediate risks
 - Medium term: managing gradual sectoral transitions and adaptation
 - Long-term: Assessing structural market transformations
- **Definition and justification of materiality thresholds,** with no predefined reference imposed by the directive.

Recent climate stress tests, such as those carried out in Europe by the European supervisory authorities and in France by the ACPR, provide a broader, forwardlooking view of insurers' exposure to climate risks.

2. Key insights from recent climate stress tests: identifying vulnerabilities

The Solvency 2 revision marks progress **in integrating climate risks** into insurers' frameworks, with evolving capital requirements and a phased implementation approach. However, **this regulatory development alone is not sufficient to fully gauge the magnitude of the challenges ahead.**

Recent climate stress tests, such as those conducted across Europe by supervisory authorities and in France by ACPR in France, provide a broader and more forward-looking perspective on insurers' exposure to climate risks.

They reveal three major vulnerabilities:

- Loss of investment portfolio value
- Increased in the frequency and cost of climate-related claims
- · Decline in solvency levels

The "Fit for 55" stress test (published in November 2024)

In March 2023, the European Commission requested European supervisory authorities (EBA, EIOPA, ESMA), and the ECB assess the resilience of the European financial sector to the transition risks induced by the *"fit for 55"* legislative package. This package aims to reduce the European Union's greenhouse gas emissions by 55% between 1990 and 2030. The scope of analysis was extensive, covering banks, insurers, pension institutions, and investment funds.

As shown in the table below (Figure 3), the results of this "Fit for 55" stress test highlight that while losses remain manageable in an orderly transition scenario, they could escalate significantly under heightened macroeconomic pressures.

Figure 3: characteristics of the "Fit for 55" stress test

	Stress test "Fit for 55" (Nov 2024)	
Organizer	EIOPA, EBA, ESMA, ECB	
Date	Initiation: March 2023 Publication: November 2024	
Objective	Assess the resilience of the EU financial sector to the measures aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 55% and anticipate the impacts on financial stability	
Scope of analysis	• 2,331 insurance entities representing €6.8 trillion of assets (81% of total investments by European insurers)	
Scenarios studied	 Baseline scenario (B): orderly transition, with cumulative GDP growth of 11% over the first 8 years (based on Eurosystem's June 2023 macroeconomic projections) and €3.7 billion in transition-related investments, leading to increased financial leverage 	
(regarding insurers)	• Adverse scenario 1 (A1): "run for brown", abrupt repricing of transition risks, triggering a correction in asset prices	
	 Adverse scenario 2 (A2): combination of A1's shocks with standard macroeconomic stress factors, including geopolitical risks. 	
	• Baseline scenario: very limited losses, with asset depreciation of 2.2%	
	Adverse scenario A1: 5.2% depreciation	
	• Adverse scenario A2:	
Impact on assets	- Severe asset depreciation of 18.8%, driven by rising swap rates and widening credit spreads.	
	 Assets backing life insurance liabilities (excluding unit-linked) are the most impacted due to their long duration 	
	- Second-round effects: contagion and amplification risks across financial system subsectors, resulting in an additional loss 4.5% loss	
Impact on liabilities	The reduction in the present value of insurance liabilities caused by rising interest rates was not assessed in the test	

ACPR's new climate stress test (results published in May 2024)

Separately, ACPR launched a climate stress test in 2022, covering 22 entities and representing 90% of the total balance sheet total of French insurers.

This exercise aimed to assess short-term solvency risks under a climate stress scenario and evaluate long-term impacts (by 2050) across multiple transition scenarios.

Figure 4: features of the ACPR climate stress test

	ACPR Climate Stress Test	
Organizer	ACPR	
Date	Initiation: 2022 Publication: May 2024	
Objective	Assess the impact of climate change (physical and transition risks) on the solvency of French insurers in the short term (2027) and long term (2050)	
Scope of analysis	22 insurers representing 90% of the balance sheet total of French insurers	
Scoppring studied	Short-term adverse scenario (2023-2027): accumulation of extreme weather events, sharp market correction in 2025 due to an adjustment in transition risk pricing	
	Long-term adverse scenarios (2050): 1) orderly transition (<i>below 2 degrees</i>) 2) disorderly transition (delayed transition, 3) hypothetical scenario (no physical or transition risk)	
	 Short-term adverse scenario: 11.5% decline in asset value compared to the baseline scenario, 	
	· Long-term adverse scenario	
Impact on assets	 Compared to the hypothetical scenario with no transition or physical risks, the overall portfolio impact remains limited: -3% in the orderly scenario and -3.5% in the disorderly transition scenario. 	
	- Investments in real estate and fossil fuel related investments were particularly affected, with -18% decline in equity values for oil refining companies and -14% drop in real estate sector valuations.	
Impact on liabilities	 Short-term adverse scenario: Contrasting effects, with higher technical provisions for non-life insurance, but lower technical provisions for life insurance, Long-term adverse scenario: Deterioration in the claims experience, particularly in Natural Risk coverage and health insurance 	
	Compared to the baseline scenario, the short-term adverse scenario (2025) reveals:	
Turner to a second	• 28% decrease in own funds	
Impact on solvency	• 9% increase in the SCR	
	• 48 percentage points reduction in the SCR ratio	

Rather than minimising climate risk, we believe it is essential to improve our understanding of it and manage it proactively.

3. Two strategic actions for a proactive approach to climate risks

Asset portfolio reallocation: supporting the transition without undermining economic stability

The climate transition requires a reallocation of asset portfolios, but this shift involves a delicate trade-off:

- · Reducing exposure to climate risks to protect investment portfolios
- Investing in climate solutions, which often lie within high-emission sectors

Rather than simply minimizing climate risk, we believe it is crucial to enhance our understanding of it and manage it proactively.

Implement a dynamic climate risk assessment by integrating advanced indicators

Traditional indicators, such as **Scope 1 and 2** emissions, provide a starting point but remain insufficient for a comprehensive climate risk assessment. We advocate for a more refined and forward-looking approach, based on two complementary dimensions:

- Alignment dimension: Identifies companies actively transitioning their business models to progressively align with a net-zero trajectory.
- **Contribution dimension:** Focuses on investments that accelerate the deployment of solutions directly advancing the global economy toward net-zero by 2050.

These dimensions offer both a temporal (alignment) and spatial (contribution) perspective. They are complementary because they provide insights not only into a **company's carbon trajectory and climate ambition** (alignment indicators) but also into its direct climate action (contribution indicators).

Contribution **indicators**, such as taxonomy alignment or green bonds, help identify **issuers developing solutions** that support net-zero objectives. Alignment indicators complement by assessing **the quality of a company's transition plans**.

Additionally, **climate stress tests** further enhance climate risk analysis by evaluating the **vulnerability of financial institutions** to climate change impacts. These tests help identify climate risks as **systemic risks**, enabling better risk management and informed decision-making.

However, **the lack of standardized, high-quality** data hinders the integration of climate risks into corporate strategies, potentially leading to an underestimation of climate-related risks.

We are convinced that innovation is key to refining climate risk assessment and encouraging smarter capital reallocation.

Develop innovative tools to support the transition

We firmly believe that innovation is key to **refining climate risk assessment** and driving a **more intelligent reallocation of capital**.

To illustrate, we have developed several **decision-making tools** aimed at improving our understanding of climate risk at both the issuer and portfolio level.

For instance, we have designed a **transition score**, which will be applied to all of our actively managed portfolios by the end of the year. Its objective is to **assess the alignment and progress made by companies towards net zero targets**.

In addition, we have developed <u>a climate stress test tool based on the scenarios</u> <u>of the MIT EPPA model</u>¹ to assess a broad sample of companies. This tool enables the systematic application of climate stress testing across equity and bond portfolios.

Unlike the broad-based regulatory analyses, this model:

- aligns with the NGFS transition pathways
- integrates asset valuation at both the companies' and investment portfolio levels.

By leveraging this tool, we have refined **our assessment of transition impacts** by directly linking **macroeconomic variables to corporate financial statements.** This allows us to estimate the valuation effects on **corporate equities and bonds.**

Three key strengths of our approach:

- 1. Dynamic asset valuation aligned with macroeconomic scenarios:
 - the model incorporates financial shocks anticipated in regularly updated transition scenarios.
 - it quantifies their impact on financial ratios and portfolio profitability.

2. Enhanced granularity:

- the model precisely identifies a **portfolio's exposure to transition risk** based on the activities and revenues of the companies within it.
- it considers the diversity of business activities within companies, recognizing that exposure varies even within the same sector, which is crucial for accurate risk measurement.

3. Cross Asset perspective:

 the tool aims to provide institutional investors with a forward-looking view of the opportunities and risks associated with different asset allocation strategies across both equities and bonds.

Engagement: a key lever to accelerate corporate transition

Active engagement is a critical tool for **accelerating the climate transition of financed companies** and, consequently, reducing exposure to **climate risks**.

Among the four pillars of the NZAOA², engagement stands out as the only mandatory pillars. This reflects its central role as a driver of real economy change.

For an **engagement strategy to be effective**, it must be supported by **adequate resources**, **strong governance**, and a **clear roadmap** that outlines expectations and key action levers.

Mobilizing internal resources to structure effective engagement

Establishing an engagement strategy focused on **priority themes**, and **setting quantifiable targets** based on **specific indicators** are essential steps. However, these efforts require dedicated **resources which not** all insurers possess.

This is why analyzing investors' engagement and voting practices is crucial.

Since the publication of the latest *Target Setting Protocol* in 2024, members of the **NZAOA**, who delegate asset management are now required **to question their asset managers** about their engagement and voting strategies.

For example, we rely on a team of **40-person team dedicated to ESG research**, **engagement and voting.** Thanks to this commitment, we had engaged with over **2,800 companies had been engaged by the end of 2024.** Climate-related issues remain a core component of our engagement strategy and are among the 10 key objectives of our ESG Ambition 2025 plan, with a goal of engaging an additional 1,000 issuers on climate challenges.

Targeting high-emitting sectors that are essential to the transition

According to the Energy Transition Commission, achieving net-zero by 2050 will require **\$3,5 trillion in annual investments.** Today, only **\$1 trillion is** invested per year, with 70% of this amount needed for low-carbon electricity production, transmission, and distribution.

The primary challenge is the decarbonization of business models, starting with highimpact sectors.

For example, we have developed an internal engagement framework to support the transition to a low-carbon economy. This framework covers multiple sub-themes, with sector-specific criteria and tailored expectations for the most carbon-intensive industries, such as **oil and gas, utilities, automotive and transport, real estate and construction,** as well as **steel, cement** and **chemicals.**

Developing a thematic engagement framework to enhance transparency and ambition

Our internal engagement framework is designed with two primary objectives: **promote transparency in corporate climate commitments and increase the level of ambition among issuers.**

This approach enables us to deepens our understanding of climate risks while encouraging companies **to decarbonize their business models and reduce exposure to climate-related risks.**

2. Net Zero Asset Owner Alliance.

This internal commitment framework has two objectives: to promote transparency and to raise the level of ambition of issuers. While the climate transition requires significant investments, **the cost of inaction will be far higher**. A **disorderly** transition would result in substantial economic costs, driven by the increasing frequency and severity of physical risks.

For instance, using NGFS climate scenarios³, the Banque de France estimates that the most economically damaging climate events for France would be droughts (-3.2% of GDP), heat waves (-2.1% of GDP), and floods (-0.3% of GDP).⁴

Given these challenges, our climate engagement campaign is focused on **raising awareness among issuers about the consequences of physical climate risks**, which could lead to asset devaluation or rising insurance premiums.

Additionally, other sub-themes, such as Scope 3 emissions and methane reduction, complement our engagement strategy.

Escalation mechanisms and long-term monitoring of commitments

An engagement process typically lasts between **3 to 5 years. It is therefore essential to clearly define escalation mechanisms,** which can range from **voting against the re-election** of board members to **downgrading the issuer ESG rating** and ultimately excluding **the issuer from investment portfolios** if progress remains insufficient.

Additionally, with over **2,800 companies engaged** across various sub-themes, implementing an ambitious engagement policy requires the development of effective monitoring tools.

These tools contribute to:

- · enhance transparency to promote engagement actions,
- · meet regulatory requirements,
- ensure effective monitoring of commitments at both the issuer and portfolio levels.

By structuring engagement with clear escalation steps and robust monitoring, we can drive meaningful corporate change whole ensuring that investments remain aligned with long-term sustainability objectives.

3. Network for Greening the Financial System.

4. https://www.banque-france.fr/en/publications-and-statistics/publications/new-ngfs-scenarios-phase-4-economic-impacts-france

Sources :

DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL amending Directive 2009/138/EC regarding proportionality, quality of supervision, disclosure, requirements, long-term guarantee measures, macroprudential tools, sustainability risks, group supervision and cross-border supervision, and amending Directives 2002/87/EC and 2013/34/EU - Version approved by the Council on 5 November 2024.

Prudential treatment of sustainability risks - EIOPA - 7 November 2024.

Consultation on the proposed technical standards for sustainability risk management, including sustainability risk plans - December 4, 2024.

Key findings of the climate exercise on the insurance sector - ACPR - Banque de France - May 2024.

Fit-dor-55 Climate Scenario Analysis by the European Supervisory Authorities and the European Central Bank - November 2024.



Important information

Investing involves a risk of capital loss. Non-contractual promotional information not constituting investment advice, a recommendation or a solicitation to buy or sell. For further information, visit www.amundi.com.

Les Past performance is no guarantee of future results. The accuracy, completeness or relevance of the information, forecasts and analyses provided are not guaranteed. They are based on sources believed to be reliable and are subject to change without notice. Information and forecasts are inevitably partial, provided on the basis of market data observed at a specific time and are subject to change. Unless otherwise indicated, all information contained in this document is deemed to be accurate as of 28 February 2025.

This information is not intended for use by residents or citizens of the United States of America and 'U.S. Persons' as that term is defined by Regulation S of the Securities and Exchange Commission under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933. The definition of 'U.S. Person' is provided in the legal notice on the www.amundi.com. Date website.

First use: March 2025.

Doc ID: 4267436.

The content of this document is approved by Amundi Asset Management, a French société par actions simplifiée, a portfolio management company approved by the "Autorité des marchés financiers" or "AMF" under the number GP 04000036 whose registered office is located 91-93 boulevard Pasteur, 75015 Paris – France –, under the Paris trade register number 437 574 452 RCS.

Conception: Atelier Art6 – Photo credit: © iStock/Getty Images Plus